1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2			
3		X	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	: 15-M-3369	
5		: :	
6	V.	: 500 Pearl Street : New York, New York	
7	SENG, et al.,	: September 21, 2015	
8	Defe	ndants. : X	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR ARRAIGNMENT/BAIL HEARING		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH NETBURN CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Government:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
13		BY: JANIS ECHENBERG, ESQ.  DANIEL RICHENTHAL, ESQ.  ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY  One St. Andrew's Plaza	
14			
15		New York, New York 10007	
16	For the Defendant:	KEVIN TUNG, ESQ.	
17	FOI the Defendant:	Kevin Kerveng Tung, P.C. 38-21 Main Street Flushing, New York 11354	
18			
19			
20		SHARI RIEMER, CET-805 TypeWrite Word Processing Service 211 N. Milton Road	
21			
22		Saratoga Springs, New York 12866	
23			
24			
25			
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service		

THE CLERK: In the matter of United States v. Nq Lap 1 2 Seng. 3 Counsel, please state your name for the record. MS. ECHENBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 4 Echenberg for the Government. With me at counsel table is my 5 6 colleague Dan Richenthal as well as Jason Albert, a special 7 agent from the FBI. Good afternoon. MR. TUNG: 8 On behalf of the defendant, Ng Lap Seng, Kevin Tung, T-U-N-G. 9 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 MR. TUNG: Good morning, Your Honor -- good afternoon, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Can I have the date and 13 time of the defendant's arrest? 14 15 MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. This defendant was arrested on Saturday September 19th at approximately nine a.m. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. Good afternoon, sir. My name 18 is Judge Netburn. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. The purpose of today's proceeding is to 20 THE COURT: 21 advise you of certain rights --22 You can remain seated. 23 The purpose of today's proceeding is to advise you 24 of certain rights that you have, inform you of the charges 25 against you, consider whether counsel shall be appointed for

you and decide under what conditions if any you shall be released.

I'm now going to explain certain constitutional rights that you have. You have the right to remain silent. You are not required to make any statements. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities you need not make any further statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you.

You have the right to be released either conditionally or unconditionally unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence in court or the safety of the community.

You have the right to be represented by an attorney during all court proceedings including this one and during all questioning by the authorities. If at any point in time you should run out of money you can petition the court for appointed counsel. I understand for now you have retained counsel.

THE COURT: I have before me a complaint. It charges you with one count of conspiracy to obstruct the function of and to make false statements to United States Customs and Border Protection.

Counsel, have you had an opportunity to review the complaint with your client?

MR. TUNG: Yes, Your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: You've been able to translate it for him or speak with an interpreter to do so?

MR. TUNG: I was able to speak Mandarin to him. I think he understood, yes.

THE COURT: And he understands the charges against him?

MR. TUNG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does he waive the public reading of this complaint?

MR. TUNG: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Sir, you have the right to a preliminary hearing at which the Government will have the burden of establishing that there is probable cause to believe that the crime for which you are being charged has been committed and that you are the person who committed it.

If you are in custody you have the right to have that preliminary hearing within 14 days. If you are not in custody you have the right to have that preliminary hearing within 21 days. A preliminary hearing will not however be held if before the date it is scheduled you are indicted by a grand jury or an information is filed against you by the Government.

I will set a date for the preliminary hearing at the conclusion of these proceedings.

Is the Government seeking detention in this case?

```
MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, Your Honor, we are.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Counsel, are you prepared to make an bail
 3
    application at this time?
              MR. TUNG: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Let me hear from the Government first.
 5
              MS. ECHENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
    defendant presents a significant risk of flight.
 8
    defendant is exceptionally wealthy, I think beyond what we are
    even used to seeing in this court. As the defendant himself
10
   has admitted, he has a net worth of approximately $1.8
11
    billion. He makes approximately $25 million per month.
    Approximately $300 million annually.
12
13
              As our investigation has revealed he's extremely
    connected internationally as well.
14
15
              THE COURT: Can you speak up, please?
              MS. ECHENBERG: Yes.
16
17
              THE COURT: Thank you.
18
              MS. ECHENBERG: So just in case I can start from the
19
    beginning.
20
              THE COURT: I heard.
21
              MS. ECHENBERG: Okay.
22
              THE COURT: I want to make sure everyone in the
23
    courtroom can hear as well.
24
              THE COURT: Yes. Some other admissions that he made
25
    just in the context of the Pretrial Services Report is that he
```

has approximately \$1 billion in Chinese real estate and approximately \$30 million worth of private airplanes. So we are seeking detention as Pretrial has recommended.

As the complaint lays out in addition to the wealth that he's admitted, he has access to United States currency. He has wired that we know about approximately \$20 million in United States currency into the country and the complaint itself deals with \$4.5 million in United States currency.

The complaint also deals with the use of private planes which he's admitted he has access to and he takes when he comes to the country extremely short trips, a number of days in and out of the country. So he has the ability to come in and out quite quickly.

As I mentioned, he has tremendous international ties without any real ties to the United States. Those ties include a Chinese, a Portuguese and a Dominican Republic passport, all of which he's admitted to having although the Government currently only has in its possession th Portuguese and the Chinese passport.

The Government is also aware of him having family in Canada. It appears he's admitted to Pretrial that he lived there for a number of years in the 1990s and he's admitted to extensive foreign travel as is laid out --

THE DEFENDANT: [Speaking in Mandarin].

MS. ECHENBERG: As is laid out in the Pretrial

Services Report.

This defendant is charged here in a crime that involves the deception of United States authorities. He also has a record of similar types of activity and deception.

As is laid out in the complaint, he was served with a subpoena to appear in a different district, a subpoena to which he did not respond. In addition, he was connected to a prior investigation involving the illegal wiring of money to the United States to political parties and political candidates in and around 1996. Shortly after that investigation began and there were congressional inquiries and arrests, he stopped coming to the United States between 1996 and 2000. So we think that is indicative of his unlikeliness to return now that he himself has been charged. We think he is very likely to use his enormous resources to flee and it would obviously be very difficult to bring him back and he has exhibited a record of not returning when there is even an investigation that is connected to associates of his.

In addition, at the time of his arrest he had at least 20 credit cards in his possession. He had three phones. He had \$30,000 in Hong Kong currency on his person and he was wearing an extremely expensive watch that was gold encrusted in diamonds that has been estimated at being worth approximately \$200,000.

I don't think I need to say more about his

incredible wealth and resources but that's just yet another example of what he has access to and why we have such significant concerns that there is not a bail package of any amount of property or currency that would actually hold this individual in the United States.

As, and Your Honor may not be aware, he was arrested on his way to a private plane to leave the country and that is why the arrest occurred at that time to prevent him from leaving and to go forward with our charges. So as Pretrial has suggested and as the Government concurs, the only way to insure his presence in court and proceeding of this case is to detain this individual.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel.

[Mr. Tung away from microphone.]

MR. TUNG: Yes. Your Honor, people rich that's not a criminal -- being a criminal and, Your Honor, I don't know if you had a chance to read the complaint and the [inaudible] to me that [inaudible] it's a misunderstanding because the people coming back from a different culture. Mr. Seng comes from [inaudible]. He has [inaudible] times but he did [inaudible] otherwise [inaudible] and the allegations here and that he said he false statements. That's the allegations in the complaint. The nature of the false statement is they ask [inaudible], the U.S. Custom officer asked him what do you want to do with your money and I think it answered -- one of

the answer, at least [inaudible] I went to -- I'm going to -- I was going to casino to gamble. I think the allegation says they couldn't verify this activity he did some gambling. So basically the Government's suspicious at this point in time that the money went nowhere [inaudible] basically that's [inaudible].

They also allege at a time when he left the country he didn't fill out the customs form. If you carry out money, more than \$10,000 you should fill out a form. So when I look at the complaint really there's nothing essentially say that Mr. Seng here committed a laundering or drug dealer, anything of that nature.

So when I look at the complaint, Your Honor, our constitution [inaudible] make a determination when you set your bail that it's in proportion to what the crime alleged, at least alleged in the complaint but if you look at this complaint on its face people carry money in and out without filling out a form maybe in violation of something but this is not really a crime [inaudible] even if the people taking money out of the country without reporting when they have no [inaudible] it is not a crime though. It's a fine, maybe just a monetary fine or [inaudible] nature.

So when the United States just tried to [inaudible] this man a very, very rich but I don't think that has any relevance here. When people being rich that doesn't mean that

he is a criminal.

Now, by citing that this man here has a lot of money, and Your Honor I will also represent to you although I don't know how to translate [inaudible] member of [inaudible] senator or congressman in China. He has been for four terms. Okay. That's [inaudible] 16 years [inaudible] carries [inaudible]. So here's a well known person and someone who is a legitimate person. He owns business hotels in [inaudible].

Now, when someone is in that nature [inaudible] rich they would [inaudible] not very serious crime. It's the allegation here in [inaudible] that's a different story. We don't have such a situation here, Your Honor.

Now, Your Honor, also talking about the ties to the United States. Mr. Seng does have a \$3.8 million apartment located in Manhattan, Second Avenue and that's what he represents to me. So, Your Honor, there's nothing wrong with that [inaudible] I would suggest we will put this one up, the apartment up first. In addition, I would suggest, Your Honor, we have some sort of electronic monitoring device so -- to put on this man. So he won't be able to leave the country. If [inaudible] the Government will know immediately.

So during this period of time Mr. Seng can stay in his apartment in New York and if he ever [inaudible] leaves the Government has \$3.8 million apartment that can be taken.

That would be [inaudible]. So I think [inaudible] sufficient

[inaudible].

Now, in addition, if Your Honor would think we should put up some cash I don't think we have any problems putting us some reasonable cash which [inaudible] allegations in the complaint. You cannot just charge people [inaudible] asking for \$1 million bail because -- just because this person have tendency to leave the country but I don't even see that as a possibility here. Mr. Seng, he has made a lot of donations to various not for profit organizations [inaudible] millions of dollars. So there's no reason for somebody in his status would not try to defend in this case and try to leave this country forever. I don't think that's the situation here.

Your Honor, I don't mind. You can ask Mr. Seng what his intention is to defend case -- I don't mind even though I know you're not going to ask him to say that.

In addition, Your Honor, I just had the chance to talk to my client because -- to prepare for this bail argument and he also told me that he can possibly have his friends, two ambassadors to the United Nations, United Nations of the United States from -- or the other country, the other country. I think they will come in also to sign some of [inaudible] guarantee to insure that his presence in this country.

So, Your Honor, we should set a condition instead of an unconditional bail for him to have a chance and where we

try to meet whatever terms the court will set and try to make sure that Mr. Seng will come back and I'll assure he will come back to defend what the allegations [inaudible] not much -- something is [inaudible] pose a danger to the society. He just failed to report and maybe here he spent some money. He didn't understand the cultural backgrounds [inaudible] United States have ruled in other cases [inaudible] defending these cases. [Inaudible] cultural [inaudible] people do not know when they come into this country if they carry tons of cash that would be a problem [inaudible] but if you read the complaint [inaudible] motions to dismiss, Your Honor, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Can I ask you if you have any response to the Government's allegation that a subpoena was issued for his appearance back in the '90s and he failed to appear and then he did not return back to the United States for several years?

MR. TUNG: Well, Your Honor, number one, when he received a subpoena, and I don't even think the person here sitting next to me does not even speak English at all and that is not for a criminal case. That is for a [inaudible] case. [Inaudible] wants him to be [inaudible]. So he was not aware of this but even if after the subpoena was issued according to the allegations here he came to the United States several times after [inaudible]. He was just not aware of this. [Inaudible] he was not even aware of it. Nobody ever

translated it. Somebody just drop off a paper saying you have to come into the courtroom to testify on such a day and that day was -- and every time he comes he stays very short period of time. So he left. He's probably not even aware of that. That's arguable here. And he came back according to the complaint here several times, 2014 many times -- I'll look at the dates here. Those are the dates after the subpoena was issued [inaudible]. He was in this country at least one, two, three, four, five -- five times in 2014 and four times in 2013. This year in July 2015 he was here. So, Your Honor, I cannot say that he tried to avoid appearing in court and stay out of the country for a few years because of the subpoena. I think he's not aware of ths at all that he had to appear.

THE COURT: Thank you. Any response?

MS. ECHENBERG: Just to clarify a few points, Your Honor. With regard to the grand jury subpoena, just so the court is aware, the defendant was personally served with that subpoena. He was in the presence of his associate, principal associate and co-defendant he was fluent in English, Mr. Yin, and the grand subpoena was fully explained to him. Obviously a man of this wealth also has access to counsel should he want to further understand what that's all about.

I'm sure Your Honor can do this math but \$3.8 million apartment is less than one percent of this defendant's total wealth. So it's clearly not even close to sufficient to

1 being something that would hold this individual here.

Also, if the court wants, we can provide the court with numerous examples of individuals who've been on electronic monitoring but have yet still managed to flee.

MR. TUNG: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. TUNG: My client just informed me that for that subpoena he had a lawyer at that time sent a letter to whatever the lawyer thinks appropriate to explain the situation that he [inaudible]. I don't know the exact details so all I'm trying to say here is he's not irresponsible for the court proceedings that he has to appear. He's not [inaudible]. He did have an attorney send a letter. That's what he just told me.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. TUNG: And also, Your Honor, if \$3.8 million is not sufficient like I said, Your Honor, you can increase, you can put let's say \$3 million cash or we'll try to meet the conditions, Your Honor. That's not [inaudible] we're talking about to [inaudible] minor charge [inaudible]. I'm talking about [inaudible] complaint, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you everybody. I appreciate everybody's argument and certainly let me respond to your [inaudible], Mr. Tung. Obviously being wealthy is not in and of itself a crime and I don't think anybody is accusing your

client of criminal activity merely because of his wealth. So I want to make sure that that point is made.

This is not the first case that I've had where I've had extremely wealthy individuals appear before me who are facing serious charges even if they are not drug cartels or the like as you referenced but where there is serious concern about the person's capacity to flee and in cases where there are -- the defendant has access to significant resources and in this case significant funds and airplanes and numerous passports and citizenship in various countries, some of which may not cooperate with the United States Government if he were to flee and take refuge there.

I don't believe I can release your client and be satisfied that he will appear in court. I don't believe there are conditions that I can set to satisfy myself that he will remain in the United States and face the charges. So I'm going to order him detained without conditions for his release.

When would you like met to set the date for the preliminary hearing? We can set it for the 14th day which is what he's entitled to or you can waive to the 30th day. So it would be either October 5th or October 21st. These are generally not dates where you would appear in court. They are dates just to hold so we can keep the case moving forward.

MR. TUNG: October 5th is a good day. We'll try to

[inaudible] as soon -- as short as possible. THE COURT: Fair enough. MR. TUNG: I have a few on that date [inaudible]. THE COURT: So we'll set the preliminary hearing for October 5th. Anything further? MS. ECHENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. We just wanted to note that we have made consular notification to China and Portugal. We just wanted to note that on the record. THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further beyond that? MS. ECHENBERG: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much. MR. TUNG: October 5th at what time? THE CLERK: It's just a control date. THE COURT: It's a control date. So you'll be in touch with the Government and you can talk to them about moving the case forward. Thank you. 

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Ilm M Shari Riemer, CET-805 Dated: September 28, 2015